REPLY DECLARATION OF JAMES M. BRADBURY ATTACHMENT 4

@ **BELL**SOUTH

Change Request Form

| Internal Reference #(1) Date Change Request Submitted08_/09_/_00(2) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ TYPE 5 (CLEC) ☐ TYPE 4 (BST) ☐ TYPE 3 (INDUSTRY) ☒ TYPE 2 (REGULATORY) (3) | | | |
| TYPE 6 (DEFECT) (3A) Company Name_ MCI WorldCom(4) | | | |
| CCM(5) Phone(6) | | | |
| CCM Email Address(7) Fax(8) | | | |
| Alternate CCM(9) Alt Phone #(10) | | | |
| Originator's Name _Tyra Hush(11) Phone_ 703-918-6683 | | | |
| Title of Change _Migration of UNE-P Notifications(13) | | | |
| Category ☐ Add New Functionality ☐ Change Existing (14) Desired Due Date _01_//_00_(15 | | | |
| Originating CCM assessment of impact Major Minor None expected (16) | | | |
| Originating CCM assessment of priority Urgent High Medium Low (17) | | | |
| Interfaces Impacted (18) | | | |
| ☐ Pre-Ordering ☐ Ordering ☐ Maintenance ☐ Manual ☐ LENS ☐ TAFI ☐ EC-TA Local ☐ EC-TA Local ☐ CSOTS ☐ TAG ☐ TAG ☐ TAG | | | |
| Type Of Change Check and or more as applicable (10) | | | |
| Type Of Change - Check one or more, as applicable (19) Software ☐ Hardware ☐ Industry Standards ☐ Defect Product & Services ☐ New or Revised Edits ☐ Process Documentation ☐ Regulatory ☐ Other | | | |
| Description of requested change including purpose and benefit received from this change. (Use additional sheets, if necessary.) (20) Currently BellSouth requires CLECs to submit Customer Name and Service Address for migration orders (ACT = V, P, Q) to UNE-P. WorldCom is requesting that BellSouth allow CLECs to migrate using Customer Name and TN, and not be required to supply the Service Address on these ACT types. | | | |
| Additional Information | | | |



Change Request Form
List all business specifications and/or requirements documents included (or Internet / Standards location, if applicable)

| This Section to be completed by BCCM only. | | |
|---|--|--|
| This Section to be completed by BCCM only. | | |
| Change Request Log #_CR0133(23) Clarification ☐ Yes ☒ No (24) | | |
| Clarification Request Sent//_ (25) Clarification Response Due//_ (26) | | |
| Status S (27) | | |
| Change Request Review Date/(28) Target Implementation Date _11/17/01_ (29) | | |
| Last Modified ByBCCM(30) Date Modified11/5/01 (31) | | |
| Defect Validation Results: (32) | | |
| Change Review Meeting Results (33) | | |
| Currently, this issue is pending final closure of OBF Issue 1792 (Migrations). BellSouth has committed to support the OBF guideline surrounding Issue 1792 and these guidelines will drive how BellSouth will address this issue. | | |
| BellSouth looks forward to a timely resolution by OBF on this very sensitive and critical issue. | | |
| 9-25-00 BellSouth to revisit this request. This CR can possibly be worked with CR #EDI1215990001. | | |
| 3-15-01 Non-scheduled change request. Request to be re-prioritized at 3/28/01 meeting. | | |
| 3-21-01 CR not on prioritization list due to new requirements to provide the address fields on certain | | |
| REQTYPs (associated with due date calculation). Add'l infor to be provided. | | |
| 5-16-01 Testing in process for ACTs of P, Q and V. | | |
| 5-23-01 This CR was previously targeted for a release, but was removed due to the changes in the due | | |
| date calculation requirements. The changes for the ACT of C are scheduled for Release 9.2.1. BST is | | |
| currently testing the ACTs of V, P and Q to confirm what the systems are requiring today. Changes for | | |
| the ACTs of V, P and Q are expected within the next few weeks and will be communicated to the CLECs. | | |
| | | |
| 5-30-01 For TCIF9, address validation will not be required for REQTYP E and M, ACT=V, P and Q. | | |
| EUMI will be added to the Optional field of the R/C/O tables for REQTYP E and M/ACT=V, P and Q. A | | |
| business rule for the EUMI field will be added to the BBR-LO that states: "On REQTYP E&M, ACT of V, | | |
| P and Q, with LNA=N, if the EUMI field is populated with a "Y", then address validation should occur. | | |
| R/C/O tables REQTYP E and M / ACT of V, P and Q, will reflect EUMI as 'Optional' and the following | | |
| fields as 'Conditional': SANO or SADLO, SASN, SASF, SASD, SATH, SASS, EU-CITY, EU-STATE, | | |
| EU-ZIP CODE. | | |
| | | |

⊕ BELLSOUTH

Change Request Form

| The target implementation date for system and documentation updates to support this change will be | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| scheduled for a future release. | | | | |
| 6-28-01 WorldCom sent email stating CR0133 did not have an | impact on the due date calculation | | | |
| updates as BST previously thought, therefore it should not loos | e it's original prioritized position. | | | |
| WorldCom requests that CR0133 be implemented with the nex | t available release, preferably Release | | | |
| 10.0. | | | | |
| 7-2-01 BST acknowledged receipt of 6-28-01 email and advised | d this request had been forwarded to | | | |
| Release Management for review. | | | | |
| 9-27-01 Status changed to "RC" for Candidate Request. | | | | |
| 10-15-01 Scheduled for Release 10.2 on 11/3/01. Changed to a Type 2 Regulatory request based on the | | | | |
| GA PSC recommendation. | | | | |
| 11-5-01 Per Revised Carrier Notification Letter SN91082611 po | sted to the Interconnection Web site on | | | |
| 11-2-01, BST Implemented Validating the end user address by | telephone number for REQTYP M, valid | | | |
| Activity Types for UNE-P with Release 10.2 (TAG 7.6.3) on 11-3-01. Testing during the week of 10/29/01determined that LSRs will process correctly when only one address is associated with the provided telephone number in BST's RSAG. However, when there are two or more addresses reflected | | | | |
| | | | in RSAG, the LSR will be rejected or auto clarified back to the 0 | CLEC requesting a valid address. |
| | | | Effective no later than November 17, 2001 BST will begin also | processing LSRs when a working address |
| as well as one or more previous (non-working) address is reflec | ted in RSAG. BST encourages CLECs to | | | |
| continue populating the valid address and telephone number on LSRs to ensure the current level of flow | | | | |
| through is maintained and to minimize rejects and clarifications. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Canceled Change Request Duplicate Training Clarification Not Received | | | | |
| ☐ Cancellation by BellSouth (34) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Cancellation Acknowledgment CLEC BST | Date/(35) | | | |
| Request Appeal 🔲 Yes 🔲 No (36) | | | | |
| Appeal Considerations (37) | | | | |
| Appeal Considerations (67) | | | | |
| The second secon | - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | | | |
| Agreed Belegge Date / / (00) | ONN/O # (70) | | | |
| Agreed Release Date// (38) | CMVC #(39) DDTS#(40) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

